PHOSPHORUS HETEROCYCLE SYNTHESIS BY RPX2. $AIX₃$ ADDITION TO $[1,n]$ DIENES-VIII

THE SYNTHESIS AND STRUCTURE OF 3, 9-DIOXA-2, 4-DIPHOSPHABICYCLO [3.3.1] NONANES.

A. R~JIII, D. REICHMAN, 1. GOLDBERG* and Y. KASHMAN* Department of Chemistry. Tel-Aviv University. 69978 Ramat Aviv, Israel

(/&wired in UK **17 January 1983)**

Abstract-3, 9-Dioxa-2, 4-diphosphabicyclo [3.3.1] nonanes were synthesized by the reaction of methyl dihalophosphane with 1, 5-diphenylpentan-1, 5-dione in acetic acid. The structures of two (2 to 1) addition products (2 and
3) were established by X-ray diffraction analysis. The ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of the new compounds a **discussed.**

The use of the RPX₂.AIX, complex (1) for the synthesis **of new phosphaheterocycles starting from l,n-dienes has been described in previous reports and summarized in a recent paper.' Furthermore, it could be shown that** β y- and y₈-unsaturated ketones and imines also react with $1²$. The $\gamma\delta$ -unsaturated compounds react in a similar **manner to the corresponding dienes to give the 7-oxa and 7 aza-2-phosphabicyclo [2.2. I] heptanes, e.g.**

The above reaction led to the examination of the reaction between complex 1 and I,n diketones. Among the first examined diketones were the I. 5 diones. Unfortunately, under usual reaction conditions (CH₂Cl₂, **O-10') no low molecular compound could be isolated. The literature revealed the reaction of Vyzotskii between** $2-(\alpha$ -phenacylbenzyl)cyclohexanone and PCI₃ which led **to a mixture of three products.'**

The reaction of MePX, **and I, 5-diphenylpentan-I, 5-dione afforded two isomeric crystalline compounds, 2 and 3. Under similar conditions, I,n-dienes and unsaturated ketones failed to give any pure products. Thus, Vyzotskii's reaction seems to be specific for I, 5** diketones, as can be expected from the mechanism³ (The **following Scheme indicates compounds 5 and 6 to be intermediates of 7).**

Both 2 and 3 are structural isomers, $C_{19}H_{22}O_4P_2$ (mass **spectra and elemental analysis). The 'H and "C** NMR **spectra of 2 and 3 (Table I and Experimental) clearly** show the following moieties: 2 phenyls and a $-(CH₂)_x$ **chain (as could be expected starting from a I, 5 diphenylpentadione),** 2-MeP(O)O- **groups and 2 quater**nary C atoms α to O and P atoms. Characteristic for the MeP(O)OC- moiety are the relative large J_{pc} -values (e.g. **81 and 87 Hz in 2). Compound 3 exhibiting a single P-Me resonance line. is of higher symmetry than 2 which shows two signals for the P-Me groups.**

According to the spectral data, more than a single bicyclic structure could be suggested for 2 and 3 (Structures I **and II).**

All chemical efforts to distinguish between I and II as either one can give more than a single stereoisomer failed. Thus, we turned to an X-ray diffraction analysis.

An *ORTEP* **view of the molecular structures of 2 and 3 is shown in Fig. I. The structure of 3 is in agreement with the proposed model I (see above), having an ap**proximate mirror (C_s) symmetry. The less symmetric **product 2 has an isomeric structure, in which there is a different arrangement of substituents on one of the** P **atoms. However, in both molecules the bicyclic frame-**

		$\overline{2}$		$\overline{3}$	$\overline{4}$
	3.08 _{bd}		2.66m	3.07 _{bd}	3.02 _{bd}
$H_{6\alpha}$, $H_{8\alpha}$	$J_{6\alpha 6\beta}$ = 14			$J_{6\alpha 6\beta} = 14$	$J_{6\alpha 6\beta} = 14$
	$3J_{6\alpha}p^2$ 2.5			$3^{3}J_{6\alpha P^{2}}$ 2	$3J_{6\alpha}p^2$ 2
	$J_{6\alpha7\alpha}$ = 3.8			$J_{6\alpha7\alpha} = 3.4$	$J_{6\alpha 7\alpha}$ = 3.2
				$J_{6\alpha7\beta} = 2.5$	
	1.78d quintet		2.05m	1.77d quintet	1.88 quintet
$H_{68}H_{88}$	$3J_{68P}$ 28		$3J_{88P}$ 22	$3J_{68P} = 28$	$3J_{6\beta P}$ 28
	J_{687a} = 14			J_{687a} = 14	$J_{6\beta7\alpha} = 14$
	$J_{6\alpha 6\beta}$ = 14			$J_{686\alpha} = 14$	$J_{6\alpha 6\beta}$ = 14
	$J_{6\beta7\beta} = 5$			J_{6878} = 4.6	
		2.41 tquartet		2.48 tquartet	<u>3.77 tt. a</u>
$H_{7\alpha}$		$J_{7\alpha7\beta} = 14$		$J_{7\alpha7\beta} = 14$	
		$J_{7\alpha 6\beta} = 14$		$J_{7\alpha 6\beta} = 14$	$J_{7\alpha 6\beta} = 14$
		$J_{7\alpha 6\alpha} = 3.8$		$J_{7\alpha 6\alpha} = 3.4$	$J_{7\alpha 6\alpha} = 3.2$
H_{7B}		2.14 dd		2.00 _{bd}	
		$J_{787\alpha}$ = 14		$J_{787\alpha} = 14$	
		$J_{7668} = 5$		J_{7868} = 4.6	
				J_{786a} = 2.5	
$P-CH_3$	1.48d		1.79d	1.245 bd	1.270 bd
	J_{PH} = 14		J_{PH} = 14	J_{PH} = 13	J_{PH} = 13.5
			$J_{\text{HH}} = 1$		

Table 1. 'H NMR data of compounds 2-4 1. The substitution of the state of compounds 2-4

Note: (a) observed separately from the OMe signal when recording the spectrum in C₅D₅N

work is the same. The difference between the relative orientations of the phenyl substituents could be attributed to the different nature of the I, 2 phenyl-tomethyl and phenyl-to-phosphoryl steric interactions.

Details of the molecular geometries in the two compounds. which characterize covalent bonding in the diphosphabicyclo (3.3.11 nonane framework are compared in Table 2. They reveal the distribution of conformational strain within this system. For example the covalent bonds involving the uaternary C atoms are consistently longer than $C(sp^3)$ -C(sp³) and $C(sp^3)$ **bonds in other parts of the molecule. or than C(sp3)-0 bonds in ethers.**

Interestingly, 2 crystallizes as a dihydrate. each water molecule being associated with one of the P=O groups. The observed P=O.. .O, distances are 2.80 and 2.83 Å. In the crystal the H₂O mole**cules are located close to the inversion centers, allowing H-b_onding interactions (at O,,.. .O, distances of 2.83-2.84 A) between the centro-symmetrically related entities. Consequently, there is a network of H-bonds extending throughout the crystal and stabilizing the structure.**

After the structure determination of 2 and 3 by X-ray analysis, the interpretation of the NMR spectra could be undertaken. Among the spectra of 2 and 3. elucidation of

those belonging to the less symmetrical 2, was straight forward (Table 1 and Experimental).
The 'H and ''C NMR spectra of'3, on the other hand,

require some explanations. Both spectra contain secondorder resonance line systems. The most unusual 2 Me's signal of the $CH_3P(O)$ -O-P(O)CH₃ moiety (Fig. 2) might well find its rationale assuming the Me to be part of a $[AXn]_2$ spin system. Our results are in good agreement with the spectra of molecules of type $[R_2P(X)]_2Y$ which were analyzed by Hagele et al. on the basis of a [AXn]₂

Fig. 2. Partial ¹H NMR spectrum of compound 3. (a) Calculated with the Bruker PANIC program, (b) observed.

Fig. 3. "C NMR of compound 3.

spin system and were simulated by computer programs.4 Simulation of the 'H-spectrum of 3 (Fig. 2)* gave good agreement with the observed spectrum.

In the 13 C NMR spectrum of 3, the C₁₍₅₎ and the two **P-CH, signals are outstanding; both giving rise to 5 line multiplets centered at 77.0 and 11.6ppm respectively (Fig. 3).**

When there are two additional (to the ¹³C and ¹H) **spin-l/2 nuclei present in a compound and they are strongly coupled among themselves, the "C spectrum may be second-order.' Such a situation is common for compounds containing two P-atoms, since. as is wellknown** J,, **can be quite large. In particular '?Z spectrum will in general be second-order when the P nuclei are in equivalent chemical positions. The "C spectrum will then be the X region of an ABX system. Since isotopic chemical shifts induced by "C in** "P **resonance are** likely to be small (relative to J_{pp}) the value of $\Delta \nu_{AB}$ will **also be small; moreover, a S-line pattern will result for the '%I spectrum rather than the more general 6-line X spectrum expected from an ABX system.6.7 Five-line** patterns were observed for example in 1.6-diphosphatriptycene,⁸ diphosphanes, dithioxo⁵- λ diphosphanes and other compounds.⁹ A full interpretation of the $[AXn]_2$ system (A=P) of the latter and similar compounds **requires the knowledge of the Jpc-values. These coupling constant are sometimes available from the observation of the "C satellites in the** "P **spectrum. However, there are cases. as was found for 3, where such data are not** available.⁸ Jakobsen has shown that the ¹³C-³¹P and **"P-3'P coupling constants may also be determined solely from an analysis based on line position and intensities of the** PND 13C NMR **spectrum.' Using this approach the spectrum of 3 could be rationalized and a good agreement was found between the calculated (based on the** J **values given in Table 3) and measured spectra.**

Reacting 3-p-methoxyphenyl-I, 5-diphenylpentan-I, 5 dione with MePC12 afforded a single compound, 4. The proton NMR **spectrum of H-7 of 4 (possessing an axial-** **axial coupling constant of 14 Hz, Table 1) establishes the equatorial position of the p-methoxyphenyl substituent.**

Supplementary material available. Tables of atomic **coordinates and thermal parameters for 2 and 3 (4 pages) have been deposited with the British Library Lending Division.**

EXPERIMENTAL

M.ps were taken on a Unimelt Thomas and Hoover's capillary m.p. apparatus and are uncorrected. JR spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer lnfracord model 337 Spectrophotometer. NMR spectra were taken on a Bruker WH-300 or a Jeol JNM-C-6OHL spectrometer (equipped with a P-decoupler) on C-IO% soln in CDCI, containing 1% TMS. "C-NMR spectra were taken on a Bruker WH-90 (22.63 MHz) instrument in CDCl₃; all chemical **shifts are reported with respect to** TMS (80). **Mass spectra were** recorded on a DuPont 21-491B spectrometer.

Generul procedure. In the course of 5 min with stirring, 0.05 mol of the I. 5-diketone was added in small portions lo 0.01 mol of CHsPCl2 at 60'. Then. at the same temp 3mL of HOAc (gla) was added. The mixture was kept for 2 hr at 60", then cooled down to r.t. and stirred for additional I6 hr. The mixture was then poured onto ice, extracted with CHCl₃ $(3 \times 30 \text{ mL})$, washed with NaHCO₃ aq, dried over MgSO₄, evaporated and finally **submitted to a silica-gel column for chromatography.**

Compound 2. M.p. (Acetone) 214^c, $\nu_{\text{max}}^{\text{CPL}}$ ¹ 1490, 1450, 1310, 1 **990. 940. 760 and 7OOcm-'. I3 C NMR. 6 (dsDMS0): 12.6 dq** $f_{\text{pc}} = 81 \text{ Hz}$, 13.0 dq $(f_{\text{pc}} = 87 \text{ Hz})$, 17.41, 31.01, 30.51, 77.6d $(J_{pc} = 91 \text{ Hz})$, and 77.9 d $(J_{pc} = 95 \text{ Hz})$, mass spectrum $(m/e, \%)$; 376 **fY**. 70). 296(30). 270(65). 244(45). 243 (100) and 235(80). for ¹H **NMR** see Table 1.

Compound 3. M.p. (acetone) 230°, $\nu_{\text{max}}^{\text{CHCl}_1}$ 1505, 1450, 1430, **1310. 1305. 1250. 1210. 1100. 1050. 9%. 950, 930. 910. 890. 880 790. 760. 710.680 and 660 cm ', "C** NMR, **gfdn-DMSO): 11.63 (5 lines see Table 3). 22.55 t. 35.87 I(x 2), 77.01 (5 lines, see Table 3). mass spectrum (m/e. Ic): 376 (M'. 3). 297flO). 296fl8), 282f35). 243flOO). 235f30) and 182f43). for 'H** NMR see **Table I.**

Compound 4. M.p. (acetone) 193[°], ν_{max} 1600, 1510, 1450. **1310, 1250, 1210. 1180. 1100. 1030, 1010, 950. 890. 760. and 770 cm". "C NMR, fi (CDCIs) 13.06 (5 lines. see Table 3). 346d. 39.6** t (x **2). 5.5.1 q (OCH,). 79.3 (5 lines, see Table 3). mass spectrum** *(m/e '3)* **h82 (M'. 40), 402(67), 388f50) and 34OflOO) for 'H NMR see Table I.**

Crvstal structure analyses. X-ray diffraction data were **measured on an Fnrdf-Nonius CAD4 diffractometer equipped** with a graphite monochromator, employing MoK α radiation ($\bar{\lambda}$ = **0.71069 A). For both compounds the unit-cell dimensions were determined from 20 measurements of 25 carefully centered**

^{*}The assignment of the spectrum was verified by calculated simulation with the Bruker PANIC program.

Table 3. ¹³C NMR data of compounds 3 and $4^{6,7}$

	۰ N	$2(D++D-)^{*}$	J (calculated)
$\frac{3}{P-CH_3}$	88.8	144	J_{pp} = 56, J_{PCH_3} = 89.5, J_{PCH_3} = 0.9
$\overline{3}$			
$P-C-$	93.6	143	J_{pp} = 56, J_{PC_2} = 92, J_{PC_2} = 2.
$\overline{4}$			
$P-CH_3$	91	145	J_{pp} = 56, J_{PCH_3} = 91.5, J_{PCH_3} = -0.6
$\overline{4}$			
$P = C$	98	150	J_{pp} = 56. J_{PC_2} = 98.5. J_{PC_2} = -0.5
\star $ $			

reflections and refined by the method of least-squares. Intensity data were collected in the ω -20 mode with a scan width of $0.9 + 0.35$ tan θ out to $2\theta = 50^{\circ}$. The scan rate varied according to the detected intensity between 1.0 and 4.0° min⁻¹. Intensitycontrol reflections, monitored frequently, showed no decay of the crystals. The intensities were corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects and variable measuring time but not for absorption or secondary extinction. Because of the small size of the crystals absorption corrections were not considered necessary. Compound 2 was found to cocrystallize with two mol of water.

Crystal data of 2. C₁₉H₂₂O₄P₂.2H₂O, M_r = 412.4, triclinic, a = 10.185(1) Å, $b = 10.566(2)$ Å, $c = 11.117(2)$ Å, $\alpha = 87.08(1)$ °, $\beta =$ 108.13(1)², $\gamma = 116.02$ (1)², $V = 1016.5 \text{\AA}^3$, $Z = 2$, $d_c = 1.347 \text{ g}$ cm^{-} ³, F(000) = 436, space group P1.

Crystal data of 3. $C_{19}H_{22}O_4P_2$, M_r = 376.3, triclinic a = 8.687 (2) Å, $b = 11.017$ (2) Å, $c = 11.441$ (2) Å, $\alpha = 113.66$ (1)°, $\beta =$ 105.16 (1)^o, $\gamma = 95.48$ (1)^o, $V = 942.8$ \mathring{A}^3 , $Z = 2$, $d_c = 1.325$ g, cm³, $F(000) = 396$, space groups $P\overline{1}$.

Both structures were solved by direct methods using the MULTAN system of computer programs. Refinement was carried out by full-matrix least-squares calculations, including the atomic coordinates of all atoms, anisotropic thermal parameters of the non H-atoms, and isotropic thermal parameters of the hydrogens. All hydrogen atom positions could be found from the corresponding difference maps. The final discrepancy indices at the end of the refinement were $R = 0.033$ for 2929 observations above threshold of 3σ of the intensity in 2, and $R = 0.032$ for 2466 unique observations above threshold in 3. Positional and thermal atomic parameters are available as supplementary

material; the crystallographic numbers shown below, differ from the systematic ones as there is no continuity in the latter.

REFERENCES

- ¹A. Rudi and Y. Kashman. Tetrahedron 37, 4269 (1981).
- ²Y. Kashman and A. Rudi, Tetrahedron Letters 2695 (1981).
- ³V. I. Vysotskii, J. A. Vasileva, V. N. Chernii, K. G. Chuprakova and M. N. Tilichenko, Zh. Obshch. Khim. 1714 (1979).
- ⁴G. Hägele, W. Kuchen and H. Steinberger, Z. Naturforsch B29, 349 (1974).
- ⁵S. Aime and R. K. Harris, J. Mag. Res 13, 236 (1974).
- ⁶J. A. Pople, W. G. Schneider and H. J. Bernsteins, High Resolution Nuclear Magnetic Resonance. McGraw-Hill, New York(1959).
- ⁷S. Aime, R. K. Harris, E. M. McVicker and M. Field, J. Chem. Soc. Dalton 2144 (1976).
- ⁸S. Sørensen and H. J. Jakobsen, Org. Mag. Res. 9, 101 (1977).
- ⁹R. K. Harris, E. M. McVicker and G. Hägele, J. Chem. Soc. Dalton 9 (1978).